Bird Assemblages in the Floodplain of the Lower Missouri River

Background

Floodplain forests provide some of the most densely populated and diverse avian habitat in North America. A number of studies have reported high species richness and high abundances of birds in these habitats. Unfortunately, large floodplain forests in the midwestern United States are now facing a number of ecological challenges such as conversion to agriculture and urbanization. Coincidentally, approximately half of the avian species breeding in the midwestern United States have declined significantly in the last several decades. These declines are attributed in part to the degradation and loss of migration and breeding habitat, including habitat along the Missouri River.

The lower Missouri River was once marked by frequent flooding, a shifting, braided channel, and high turbidity, resulting in a dynamic mosaic of wet prairie, early successional forest, and mature forest that was particularly suited to sustaining a diverse assemblage of birds. Historically, across the lower floodplain far from the river were generally old trees of large size; cottonwoods (Populus deltoides), for instance, were often found >2 meters (6.6 feet) in diameter and as much as 25 meters (82 feet) tall. Closer to the river and, thus, more subject to flooding and scouring, trees were generally unable to live long enough to grow to such size; thus, habitat in these ephemeral areas was maintained in an early successional state.

The Missouri River was dammed at Gavins Point, South Dakota in 1957, one of seven dams constructed on the upper Missouri River, separating the upper and lower Missouri River. In the subsequent half-century, dikes and revetments along the lower river have been constructed for flood protection, navigation, and irrigation. The result is that the lower one-third of the Missouri River is channelized and leveed. Its banks are stabilized, reducing the scouring of mature habitat and the formation of sandbars upon which new habitat is created (Fig. 1).

Indigo Buntings were counted on nearly half of surveys in mature forest.

Study Goal

Understanding the relationships between the floodplain avifauna and natural land cover of the lower Missouri River should benefit conservation efforts for birds.

Our objective was to describe the spring migrating and summer breeding bird assemblage associated with three stages of forest succession represented in the lower Missouri River floodplain, open areas dominated by wet prairie/forbs, young forests, and mid-successional forests, to gain insight into the role habitat along the lower Missouri River may play in conserving these declining bird populations.
"ABOUT ONE IN SEVEN BIRD SPECIES IN NORTH AMERICA OCCURS IN HABITAT ALONG THE LOWER MISSOURI RIVER"

**About one in seven bird species in North America occurs in habitat along the Lower Missouri River.**

**Study Area**

Ten study sites were chosen within the lower Missouri River alluvial floodplain, stretching from northwestern Missouri (near St. Joseph) to east-central Missouri (near St. Louis; Fig. 3). These 10 sites were located in three United States Fish and Wildlife Service National Wildlife Refuges (Big Muddy, Swan Lake, and Squaw Creek), three Missouri Department of Conservation Areas (Overton Bottoms South, Eagle Bluffs, and Howell Island), and the Department of Defense’s Fort Leavenworth. All sites were on public land and all except two (Swan Lake and Squaw Creek) were riverward of a levee. The western portion of the lower Missouri river occurs in the Central Dissected Tillplains ecological region, whereas the eastern portion occurs in the Ozark Highlands ecological region. The western portion is characterized by a mix of clay, sand, gravel, and boulders deposited by glacial action and dissected by glacial runoff. The Ozark Highlands are characterized by a broad plateau dissected by erosion.

**Methods**

Bird data were collected in spring migration (15 April–14 May) and summer breeding (15 May–30 June) in 2002-2004 at 365 locations (survey points), each spaced >250 meters apart. Counts were conducted within a half an hour before sunrise to three hours after sunrise (Fig. 4). Each point was off-road and a minimum of 100 m from the habitat edge. We used statistical ordination to characterize avian assemblages of each habitat. We also identified species indicative of each habitat—those primarily found in only one type of habitat.

**Most commonly counted birds of the lower Missouri River floodplain**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Proportion Of Occurrence</th>
<th>Total Birds Counted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red-winged Blackbird</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>7,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Yellowthroat</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td>1,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dickcissel</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>1,749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigo Bunting</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>2,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Cardinal</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Goldfinch</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore Oriole</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Yellowthroat</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown-headed Cowbird</td>
<td>0.416</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House Wren</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td>1,166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Cardinal</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td>1,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigo Bunting</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>1,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red-bellied Woodpecker</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose-breasted Grosbeak</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>569</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Species observed in wet prairie are highlighted in yellow with those commonly found in mature forest highlighted in green. Young forest species are the intermediate set of species. Northern Cardinal was common to both young and mid-successional forest.
Findings

One-hundred-thirty-one species were recorded in wet prairie during 2002–2004 (Fig. 5). Red-winged Blackbird, Common Yellowthroat, and Dickcissel (a species of conservation concern, Fig. 6) were the most ubiquitous species, each occurring at a frequency of >50% and a mean count of >2 birds/visit; 121 species were recorded in early successional floodplain forest. Indigo Bunting, Northern Cardinal, and Common Yellowthroat were the most commonly occurring species in this land cover, each occurring with a frequency of >50%; 140 species were recorded in mid-successional forest. The most ubiquitous species were House Wren, Northern Cardinal, Indigo Bunting, and Red-bellied Woodpecker, each occurring with a frequency of >45% and a mean count of >1 bird/visit. In all habitats, typically three-quarters of species were infrequently counted (mean count <0.1 birds per survey).

Even though approximately half of all species were observed, at least incidentally, in each of the three habitats, species composition varied primarily by habitat rather than study area, season, or year. Young forest appeared to have a bird assemblage intermediate to mid-successional forest and wet prairie, coincident with the notion that birds along the lower Missouri River were responding to a gradient in habitat succession.

At least twice as many avian species were unique to wet prairie (33 and 28 species during migration and breeding, respectively) relative to the number unique to either early (3 species each) or mid-successional forest (15 and 14 species, respectively).

Northern Harrier and Bobolink were completely indicative of wet prairie habitat. The Bell’s Vireo was the only species indicative of early successional floodplain forest, whereas Northern Parula (Fig. 7) and Prothonotary Warbler were among several species indicative of mid-successional forest.

Significance

Despite the overlap among habitats in avifaunal assemblages, a complete avifaunal assemblage in the lower Missouri River will best occur through maintenance of an array of habitat conditions. Unfortunately, conservation of species in wet prairie habitats along the lower Missouri River will require considerable investment of resources. Wet prairie is largely an ephemeral habitat, and without natural processes associated with recurrent flooding, this habitat will need to be maintained by herbicide and mechanical treatments and prescribed fire. Allowing succession of these wet prairies to forest conditions will lead to a loss of the 20% of the grassland avifauna unique to wet prairie habitat.

In a related study, we modeled successional fate of agricultural lands abandoned after the 1990s floods and identified whether areas acquired by the refuge system were likely to become grassland or forest sites. The next step should integrate these data with the bird assemblage information to assess the conservation benefit of the lands acquired by the refuge system.
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