Ottawa, Canada K1A 0H3

JAN 1 4 2021

Ms. Yvonne Anderson Chair – Board of Directors Delta Chamber of Commerce 6201 60 Ave Delta BC V4K 4E2

Dear Ms. Anderson:

Thank you for your letter of September 17, 2020 concerning the Roberts Bank Terminal 2 Project (the Project). We regret the delay in responding

As you are aware, the Project is subject to an environmental assessment, under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012* (CEAA 2012). The assessment was conducted by an independent expert Review Panel (the Panel). The Panel completed its review of the Project and issued its report on March 27, 2020.

As part of its review, the Panel considered the potential environmental effects of the Project, including marine shipping associated with the Project, potential effects on orcas, salmon and cumulative effects that are likely to result from the Project. The Panel also considered the potential economic, social, heritage and health effects, as well as feasible means to mitigate potential adverse effects.

After considering the Panel's Report and consulting with expert federal departments, I have determined that additional information is required from the Vancouver Fraser Port Authority to inform my decision on whether the Project is likely to cause significant adverse environmental effects. The Panel's report was comprehensive; however, there are areas where the Panel was unable to reach a conclusion. In other areas the Panel found that mitigation measures proposed by the Port Authority were insufficient or uncertain.

.../2





I have asked the Port Authority for additional information related to effects to Indigenous peoples, as well as to biofilm, migratory birds, fish and fish habitat (in particular Chinook Salmon), and Southern Resident Killer Whales (including related to noise, shipping, and their prey).

This information request pauses the federal timeline for decision-making. The information request is available on the Canadian Impact Assessment Registry (available here https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/document/135827?culture=en-).

Once I am satisfied the requested information has been provided, the federal timeline will resume. The Port Authority's responses to the information request will be posted to the Canadian Impact Assessment Registry. The Impact Assessment Agency of Canada (the Agency) will then host a public comment period and seek input on the Port Authority's responses. Additionally, the Agency will post online the draft potential conditions—a document outlining conditions that the proponent must comply with to move forward with the Project. The public will be invited to submit comments on this document as well.

The next step will be for me to make my decisions on the significance of effects under CEAA 2012. My decisions will be based on science, facts and evidence, informed by meaningful Indigenous consultation.

If I find that significant adverse environmental effects are likely, Cabinet would then decide whether to approve the Project. In addition to the environmental effects, this approval may take into consideration such things as the potential negative and positive economic, social, and health effects.

For updates on this and other project assessments, you may wish to subscribe to the Agency's newsletter. You can also follow us on Twitter at twitter.com/IAAC AEIC.

I appreciate you taking the time to write. By reviewing a broad set of information—including feedback like yours— I can make an informed decision about whether the Project should move forward, and if so, under what conditions.

Sincerely,

The Honourable Jonathan Wilkinson, P.C., M.P.

I have asked the Port Authority for additional information related to effects to Indigenous peoples, as well as to biofilm, migratory birds, fish and fish habitat (in particular Chinook Salmon), and Southern Resident Killer Whales (including related to noise, shipping, and their prey).

This information request pauses the federal timeline for decision-making. The information request is available on the Canadian Impact Assessment Registry (available here https://iaac-aeic.gc.ca/050/evaluations/document/135827?culture=en-).

Once I am satisfied the requested information has been provided, the federal timeline will resume. The Port Authority's responses to the information request will be posted to the Canadian Impact Assessment Registry. The Impact Assessment Agency of Canada (the Agency) will then host a public comment period and seek input on the Port Authority's responses. Additionally, the Agency will post online the draft potential conditions—a document outlining conditions that the proponent must comply with to move forward with the Project. The public will be invited to submit comments on this document as well.

The next step will be for me to make my decisions on the significance of effects under CEAA 2012. My decisions will be based on science, facts and evidence, informed by meaningful Indigenous consultation.

If I find that significant adverse environmental effects are likely, Cabinet would then decide whether to approve the Project. In addition to the environmental effects, this approval may take into consideration such things as the potential negative and positive economic, social, and health effects.

For updates on this and other project assessments, you may wish to subscribe to the Agency's newsletter. You can also follow us on Twitter at twitter.com/IAAC_AEIC.

I appreciate you taking the time to write. By reviewing a broad set of information—including feedback like yours— I can make an informed decision about whether the Project should move forward, and if so, under what conditions.

Sincerely,

The Honourable Jonathan Wilkinson, P.C., M.P.

6 11 2/16

Ottawa, Canada K1A 0H3

JAN 1 4 2021

Ms. Yvonne Anderson Chair – Board of Directors Delta Chamber of Commerce 6201 60 Ave Delta BC V4K 4E2

Dear Ms. Anderson:

Thank you for your letter of September 17, 2020 concerning the Roberts Bank Terminal 2 Project (the Project). We regret the delay in responding

As you are aware, the Project is subject to an environmental assessment, under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012 (CEAA 2012). The assessment was conducted by an independent expert Review Panel (the Panel). The Panel completed its review of the Project and issued its report on March 27, 2020.

As part of its review, the Panel considered the potential environmental effects of the Project, including marine shipping associated with the Project, potential effects on orcas, salmon and cumulative effects that are likely to result from the Project. The Panel also considered the potential economic, social, heritage and health effects, as well as feasible means to mitigate potential adverse effects.

After considering the Panel's Report and consulting with expert federal departments, I have determined that additional information is required from the Vancouver Fraser Port Authority to inform my decision on whether the Project is likely to cause significant adverse environmental effects. The Panel's report was comprehensive; however, there are areas where the Panel was unable to reach a conclusion. In other areas the Panel found that mitigation measures proposed by the Port Authority were insufficient or uncertain.

.../2



coLogo∾ Paper / Papier Éco-Logo

